FINEEC: CompLeap self-evaluation 08-09/2019

Dear CompLeap consortium partners,

Finnish Education Evaluation Centre (FINEEC) carries out an external evalution on the implementation and impact of the CompLeap project in 08-11/2019.

You´ll find further information on FINEEC at https://karvi.fi/en/

The aim of the evaluation carried out by FINEEC is to produce information that supports CSC project reporting for the financing party as well as the further development of the CompLeap activities. In addition, the evaluation produces information on the CompLeap´s capacity to be integrated to the digital learning services nationally and internationally (e.g. Europass).

In the project plan it is stated that the results of the evaluation should draw lessons that will inform the key stakeholders of this evaluation and may draw future recommendations for policy-makers. Furthermore, the project plan also states that the evaluation should assess the preliminary indications of potential impact and sustainability of results including the contribution to the development and formation of competences.

As a part of the external evaluation FINEEC facilitates an self-evaluation process within the consortium partners.

Organisation, terminology and activities referred to in the self-evaluation questionnaire are the ones described in the CompLeap project documentation at https://wiki.eduuni.fi/display/csccompleap /Key+documents

Each of the partners is kindly asked to submit one self-evaluation report by 6th September 2019. Self-evaluation consist of a set of questions, both likert-ones and open-ended ones. Questions are arranged to follow the chosen evaluation areas (5 pieces).

Self-evaluation should be drawn by involving the key staff working with CompLeap from every

consortium member.

If you have any questions regarding this self-evaluation, please, do not hesitate to contact Mrs. Kati Isoaho, Senior Advisor at FINEEC:

kati.isoaho@karvi.fi
+358 29 533 5501

1. Contact person

First name	
Last name	
Title	
Mobile	
Email	

2. Concise description of the consortium member (name, country, main activities, organizational position, legal status, staff number, number of students, yearly budget, previous organizational experience in the EU financed projects, other relevant information).

500 characters left

1. Organization and management of the CompLeap project

3. Describe shortly the key strengths of the CompLeap organization, reflected against the achievement of the objectives set for the project as well as the available resources. *

600 characters left

4. Describe shortly the key development areas of the CompLeap organization, reflected against the achievement of the objectives set for the project as well as the available resources. *

600 characters left

5. Describe shortly the key strengths of the CompLeap management, reflected against the achievement of the objectives set for the project as well as the available resources. *

600 characters left

6. Describe shortly the key development areas of the CompLeap management, reflected against the achievement of the objectives set for the project as well as the available resources. *

600 characters left

7. The CompLeap consortium partners have equally contributed to the project design and

implementation. *

	1	2	3	4	5	
1 = fully disagree	○	○	○	○	○	5 = fully agree

8. Please, justify your (previous) answer shortly and provide concrete examples. *

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

600 characters left

9. Are there any good practices developed within CompLeap organization and management? Please, describe shortly.

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

600 characters left

2. Monitoring and evaluation of the CompLeap project

10. Describe shortly the key strengths of the CompLeap monitoring, reflected against the achievement of the objectives set for the project as well as the available resources. *

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

600 characters left

11. Describe shortly the key development areas of the CompLeap monitoring, reflected against the achievement of the objectives set for the project as well as the available resources. *

_____

_____

600 characters left

12. The CompLep monitoring has been sufficient. *

	1	2	3	4	5	
1 = fully disagree	○	○	○	○	○	5 = fully agree

13. If you answered 1 or 2 to the previous question, please describe shortly the key shortcomings and missing actions.

600 characters left

14. The CompLeap monitoring has been regular and on-going. *

	1	2	3	4	5	
1 = fully disagree	○	○	○	○	○	5 = fully agree

15. Describe shortly the key strengths of the CompLeap evaluation, reflected against the achievement of the objectives set for the project as well as the available resources. *

600 characters left

16. Describe shortly the key development areas of the CompLeap evaluation, reflected against the achievement of the objectives set for the project as well as the available resources. *

_____

_____

_____

600 characters left

17. The CompLeap evaluation has been sufficient.

             1   2   3   4   5

1 = fully disagree ◯ ◯ ◯ ◯ ◯ 5 = fully agree

18. If you answered 1 or 2, please describe shortly the key shortcomings and missing actions.

_____

_____

_____

_____

600 characters left

19. Are there any good practices developed within CompLeap monitoring and evaluation? Please, describe shortly.

_____

_____

_____

_____

600 characters left

3. CompLeap services development

20. Describe shortly the most important goals set for the CompLeap services development. Justify your description by explaining why the chosen goals are the most important ones. *

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

600 characters left

21. Describe shortly how the learner-centred approach is understood in the CompLeap project and within your organization. *

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

600 characters left

22. The learner-centred approach has been successfully implemented in the CompLeap services development and the project as a whole *

                      1   2   3   4   5

1 = fully disagree   ○   ○   ○   ○   ○   5 = fuly agree

23. Please, justify your previous answer shortly. In case your answer was 3,4 or 5, please provide concrete examples of ways to implement the learner-centeredness. *

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

600 characters left

24. The Agile software methodology has helped the CompLeap consortium partners carry out the planned phases of the project

                      1   2   3   4   5

1 = fully disagree   ○   ○   ○   ○   ○   5 = fully agree

25. Please, justify your previous answer shortly and provide concrete examples.

_____
_____
_____
_____
_____

600 characters left

26. The Service Design methodology has helped the CompLeap consortium partners to carry out the planned phases of the project *

         1   2   3   4   5

1 = fully disagree ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ 5 = fully agree

27. Please, justify your previous answer shortly and provide concrete examples. *

_____
_____
_____
_____
_____

600 characters left

28. Describe shortly the achieved (short-term) outcomes of the CompLeap project. Justify your description by explaining why the chosen outcomes are the most important ones. *

_____
_____
_____
_____
_____

600 characters left

29. Analyze shortly the potential long-term impact and effectiveness of the CompLeap project. Please, provide concrete examples. *

_____
_____
_____
_____

600 characters left

30. Describe shortly the key challenges and obstacles of the CompLeap services development. *

_____
_____
_____
_____
_____

600 characters left

31. Describe shortly the ways your organization has learned as well as enhanced it´s own operations by joining the CompLeap project. *

_____
_____
_____
_____
_____

600 characters left

4. CompLeap services integration to the digital learner services as a whole

32. Assess in a concise manner the capacity of the CompLeap services to be integrated to the national digital learner services. Please, provide examples of the existing and upcoming areas, services (etc.) for integration as well as current obstacles. *

_____
_____
_____
_____
_____

600 characters left

33. Assess in a concise manner the capacity of the CompLeap services to be integrated to the international digital learner services (e.g. Europass). Please, provide examples of the existing and upcoming areas, services (etc.) for integration as well as current obstacles. *

_____
_____

600 characters left

34. The CompLeap project has succeed in creating the set of digital learner services that are easy to integrate to the national entities of digital learner services *

	1	2	3	4	5	
1 = fully disagree	○	○	○	○	○	5 = fully agree

35. Please, justify your previous answer shortly. *

600 characters left

36. The CompLeap project has succeed in creating the set of digital learner services that are easy to integrate to the international entities of digital learner services. *

	1	2	3	4	5	
1 = fully agree	○	○	○	○	○	5 = fully disagree

37. Please, justify your previous answer shortly. *

600 characters left

38. The CompLeap workshops (Germany, Netherlands) have been beneficial and served the achievement of the objectives set for the project. *

	1	2	3	4	5	
1 = fully disagree	○	○	○	○	○	5 = fully agree

5. Communication and stakeholder relations

39. Describe shortly the most important goals set for the CompLeap communication and stakeholder relations. Justify your description by explaining why the chosen goals are the most important ones. *

600 characters left

40. Describe shortly the key strengths of the CompLeap communication, reflected against the achievement of the objectives set for the project as well as the available resources. *

600 characters left

41. Describe shortly the key development areas of the CompLeap communication, reflected against the achievement of the objectives set for the project as well as the available resources. *

600 characters left

42. Describe shortly the key strengths of the CompLeap stakeholder relations, reflected against the achievement of the objectives set for the project as well as the available resources. *

600 characters left

43. Describe shortly the key development areas of the stakeholder relations, reflected against the achievement of the objectives set for the project as well as the available resources. *

600 characters left

44. Are there any good practices developed within the communication and stakeholder relations? Please, describe shortly. *

600 characters left