080819 Case Study meeting

- Paikalla: Eva Neffling (EDUFI), Antti Laitinen (CSC), Annica Moore (EDUFI), Mika Launikari (Everis/Europass), Janne (Reaktor)
- · Short introductions
 - o Mika's role in Europass is to ensure the guidance and user-driven experience. Mainly he is busy with the content production.
 - The big picture of Europass is looking chaotic. The launching is in Spring 2020.
- · Europass Case Study background
 - Has come from the commission without a proper guidance the main point: what's useful for all parties?
 - The approach and the budgets are different in CompLeap and Europass but for example the goals are similar.
 - A lot of architecture work has been done in CompLeap which could be useful for the chaotic development phase of Europass.
 - An algorithm is something that can "live" after the CompLeap project is over but we should also keep in mind the future after the project is over from other perspectives too.
 - · How does the guidance is shown in Case Study?
 - What does the guidance mean in this case? Mika:- is the service user-friendly does it support lifelong learning learning, career development, jobhunt
 - Europass: A user study in September individual end-user can use the prototype
 - o Is the Europass proto available? At first it will be availbe in a closed group.
 - In the long run Europass has a will to build similar features than in CompLeap's interests Mika has made a research about this for William - some kind of version released in the spring 2020
 - O What kind of data the suggestion system is using in Europass?
 - user profile
 - Ploteus (learning opportunities and qualifications) Europass Advisory group: the criteria of how education programmes and education opportunities can be searched is still "in the air"; the priority is in the higher education How the information is got from the member countries is a big question?
 - CompLeap has couple of potential international deployment partners that could offer an example what kind of national data is
 available
 - Funding has been given previously to member countries concerning Ploteus which wasn't really thought through it is not a sustainable solution for transferring education information
 - EURES collaboration (job opportunities)
- CompLeap prototype: focus on algorithm and education opportunities
 - In development: a section where ESCO competence will be visible
 - o PoC is part of a competence profile in a bigger context in PoC a bigger vision is tested in a smaller scale
 - Are the results plausible? How satisfied are we?
 - HTML prototype is similar to Europass prototype
 - O At the moment too much is tried in Europass in relation to schedule
 - Competence vs. skills according to commission "competence" shouldn't be used
 - Strong authentication: Does the information come directly or is it transferred? A difficult question people with a long education history make it scrappy the main thought is the automatism not like in LinkedIn where a user can add anything they want
 - Algorithm: What kind of problems have been solved?
 - It has been done in natural language the education related descriptions is written for a human user
 - By machine learning semantic meanings are sought
 - combined with interests a service offers suggestions
 - Challenges:
 - The approach requires that the text needs to be similar: eRequirements the descriptions of the education is written in the "official language"
 - the used data needs to be similar in quality
 - Emphasis: what information is more meaningful than other?
 - Prior education + interests only limited amount of data is used
 - Work experience and the education history is empasized so that the most recent ones are prioritised
- Next steps
 - Europass Architecture
 - Meeting in August September
 - Valentina Azzarella (Everis)
 - William is on a Holiday until 20th August
 - Mika will contact Eva